SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
CHEVRON CORPORATION,	
Plaintiff,	
-against-	11 Civ. 0691 (LAK)
STEVEN DONZIGER et al.,	1.802.505.4
Defendants.	DOCUMENT 1. PUTONICALLY FILED
ORDER	# 8 16/12

LEWIS A. KAPLAN, District Judge.

Plaintiffs have requested that the stay of proceedings pending further order of the Court entered in this action on April 15, 2011 [DI 279] be lifted and that the Court hold a status conference to address scheduling, discovery and other issues relating to the case going forward. Counsel for the defendants each has objected to the lifting of the stay of discovery at this time. In all the circumstances, the Court directs as follows:

- 1. The parties shall meet and confer no later than February 29, 2012, a date on which defense counsel have indicated that they are available, with respect to proposed discovery, scheduling and further proceedings. On or before March 7, 2012, they shall file a joint report indicating any agreements reached and any areas of disagreement. The joint report shall not exceed 15 double spaced pages.
- 2. The stay of proceedings entered on April 15, 2011 is vacated. While the parties henceforth may propound discovery requests and may assert any objections to any requests propounded, deposition testimony shall not be given, nor interrogatories and requests for admissions substantively answered (save for the assertion of any objections), nor requested or subpoenaed documents produced pending further order of the Court.
- 3. On or before March 7, 2012, the parties shall provide the Court with an agreed translation of the decision and any subsequent rulings of the Provincial Court in Ecuador. If full agreement cannot be reached as to any part of any translation, they shall specifically identify the disputed portion(s) of the Spanish language documents.
- 4. On or before March 7, 2012, the parties shall file a complete joint report as to the status of court proceedings in Ecuador, including the enforceability in Ecuador of the

judgment and the status of any further appellate proceedings. To the extent, if any, that they cannot agree, they shall file their separate positions and supporting material with respect to their differences.

5. The Court will notify counsel if it concludes that a conference would be

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

useful.

February 16, 2012

Lewis A. Kaplan

2

United States District Judge